

United States Patent and Trademark Office

A

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/885,633	06/19/2001	Christopher H. Elving	15437-0539	4540	
29989	7590 07/19/2005	•	EXAM	EXAMINER	
HICKMAN PALERMO TRUONG & BECKER, LLP 2055 GATEWAY PLACE			ВНАТІА,	BHATIA, AJAY M	
SUITE 550	WAYPLACE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SAN JOSE,	SAN JOSE, CA 95110		2145		
			DATE MAILED: 07/19/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1	Y	7
Z	ł	7

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/885,633	ELVING, CHRISTOPHER H.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Ajay M. Bhatia	2145		

D. C. A. I.D. C.	00,000,000		TIEN TI.				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
·	Ajay M. Bhatia	2145					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>19 June 2001</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APF							
. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a) The period for reply expires months from the mailing		in the final sales than a to	takan ata latan da				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THI 06.07(f).	g date of the final rejecti E FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. ILED WITHIN				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as				
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since				
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>							
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);							
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE:		ected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)							
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 	•	·	·				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of				
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-9, 11-21,</u> 23-24.							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	ut before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affidat	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is	t be entered s necessary and				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ls to provide a 1).				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•					
11. The request for reconsideration has been allowance because:	en considered but does NOT place	the application in con	dition for				
See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	No(s).	•				
13. Other:	Quidraci						
	ANDREW C						

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments filed June 19, 2001 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's arguments address an alternative embodiment. Applicant should direct his argument to the embodiment that "When a log buffer 204 in the set 221 becomes full, the event tracing program 230 removes the association between the log buffer 204 and it respective processor and places the log bugger 204 on a flush list 222." and "The time stamp may be updated by the event tracing program 230 whenever the log buffer is flushed. By periodically examining the time stamp of a log buffer currently contained in the list 220 of free buffers the event tracing program 230 determine how much time has elapsed since the log bugger was last used." Additionally applicant is direct to view figures 307, which may help clarify, by showing that the log buffer are check to see if they are full. Since all the presented argument relies upon the alternative embodiment, the argument is moot. Additionally the other arguments also rely upon the alternative embodiments and therefore are also moot. All claims still pending stand rejected.